Persistent Abdominal Pain:

Challenges

and

Models of Care

Gena Lantry

RN, ICN, B.Hlth. Sc. (Nur), M.Sc.Med. (Pain Management)

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The University of Newcastle

May 2013

Statement of Originality

The thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository**, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

**Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.

Signed:	 	
Date:	 	

Gena Lantry

Dedication

It is with gratitude I submit this work, achieving its realisation is the result of:

My parents, Peter and Daphne's unconditional love, support and encouragement, without which I would never have endured the journey.

My Nan, who continues to support and inspire me.

My brothers, Brett, Darren and Chad for their unwavering encouragement and support.

My husband, Craig who foregoes everything to support my endeavours, and who continues to make all things possible.

To my wonderful children, Jaimee-Louise, James, Liam, and Mia-Louise who have remained supportive and patient despite considerable sacrifices; nothing will ever compare to the blessing of you all.

My love & gratitude to you all.

Gena Louise Lantry

Acknowledgements

The successful completion of this thesis leaves me indebted to a number of people. To my supervisors: Margaret McMillan, Vicki Parker and Mike Hazelton, thank you for your time, guidance and thoughtful critique of my work. My PhD journey has been enriched by each of your inputs: my sincere gratitude.

Thank you to Karen Gardiner and Julie Van de Mortel for their careful attention and patience in producing the diagrams and formatting the document.

To my dearest friends Ingrid, Karen, Suzie and Lisa who have listened, supported and encouraged, thank you.

My appreciation extends to the Hunter New England LHD for their ongoing support during the conduct of this study.

To my colleagues at the Hunter Integrated Pain Service who have remained supportive and interested in my endeavours, thank you.

Finally, to the patients, carers and health care professionals who participated in this project, my sincere gratitude. Without your honest self-less and thoughtful input the richness of this study would not have been realised.

My sincere gratitude.

Key to Transcript

The following abbreviations and conventions have been used throughout the presentation of this thesis.

"Italics"	Quoted material from focus group participants (patient,
	family member/carers and health care professional)
Calligraphy	The researcher's reflective statements and interpretations
'emphasis'	Emphasis within comments
//	Material edited from transcribed or quoted statements
Block quotation	Material quoted from transcripts of focus group interviews
"Block quotation"	Material quoted from literary sources

Table of Contents

Table of C	Contents	V
Table of T	ables	ix
Table of F	igures	ix
GLOSSAF	RY OF TERMS	xi
ABSTRAC	CT	xiii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1-1
- 1.1 T	ntroduction	1-1
	Background of the study: Managing persistent pain in the context of	1
	porary health care	1-2
1.2.1	Study purpose and aims	
1.2.2	Research aims	
1.2.3	Research questions	1-6
1.2.4	Study site and participants	1-6
1.2.5	The Australian context	1-6
1.3 L	Locating myself within the study	. 1-10
1.4 S	Significance of the study	. 1-12
1.5 S	Structure of the thesis	. 1-13
1.6	Conclusion	. 1-14
Chapter 2	Engaging with the literature	. 2-15
2.1 I	ntroduction	. 2-15
2.2 I	Processes guiding engagement with the literature	. 2-17
2.3 I	Perspectives on pain	. 2-18
2.3.1	Historical medical and sociopolitical perspectives	. 2-18
2.4 U	Inderstanding the nature of pain: From dualistic to holistic perspectives	. 2-20
2.4.1	Conceptualising pain as biomedical	. 2-25
2.4.2	Diagnoses and pain: Diagnosis as labelling	. 2-29
2.4.3	O I	
	3.1 Defining and diagnosing persistent abdominal pain	
	The prevalence and impact of chronic pain	
	The prevalence and impact of chronic abdominal pain	
	The political work of pain: Getting pain on the health care agenda	
	Chronic pain and treatment approaches	
2.8.1	Defining new models of care: Beyond the biomedical approach	
2.8.2	Managing acute exacerbations of chronic abdominal pain	. 2-48

2.8	The challenges of opioids in the management of chronic non-mal	ignant
ab	dominal pain	2-51
2.9	Conclusion	2-55
Chapte	r 3 Methodology	3-58
3.1	Introduction	3-58
3.2	Reconciling multiple perspectives	
3.3	Making way for appreciating multiple perspectives: Mixed methods in	esearch.
		3-61
3.4	Defining mixed methods research	3-63
3.5	Criticisms of mixed methods research	3-66
3.6	Interpretive description within mixed methods	3-74
3.6	J 1 1	
3.6		
3.6	1	
3.7	Validation of data collection approaches	3-82
3.8	Data analysis and interpretation	
3.9	Summary	3-87
3.10	Conclusion	3-87
Chapte	r 4 Study design and methods	4-89
4.1	Introduction	4-89
4.1	1 Study purpose	4-89
4.1	.2 Research aims	4-90
4.1	.3 Research question	4-90
4.1	.4 Study design	4-92
4.1	.5 The study setting	4-96
4.2	Conducting the study	4-97
4.2	2.1 Phase One: Scoping the extent of the problem	4-97
4.2	Phase Two: The quantitative data within context	4-100
4.2	2.3 Phase Three: Stakeholders' experiences	4-106
4	4.2.3.1 Individual patient interviews	4-107
4	4.2.3.2 Family member/carer focus group interviews	4-108
4	4.2.3.3 Health care professional stakeholder focus group interviews	4-110
4	4.2.3.4 Phase Four: The nominal group workshops	4-114
4.3	Data management, analysis and interpretation	4-122
4.3	5.1 Data management	4-122
4	4.3.1.1 Quantitative data	4-122
4	4.3.1.2 Qualitative data	4-122
4.4	Data analysis	4-123
4.5	Producing rigorous, credible and defensible research	4-131
4.6	Ethical considerations:	
4.6	<i>y</i> 1 1	
4.6	J J	
4.6	1 1	
4.7	Conclusion	4-141

Thesis: Persistent Abdominal Pain: Challenges And Models of Care

Chapter	5 Scoping the extent of the problem	5-143
5.1	Introduction	5-143
5.1.3	Study purpose	5-146
5.1.2	2 Research aims	5-146
5.1.3	Research questions	5-147
5.2	Quantifying the impact of persistent abdominal pain	5-148
5.2.2	I Identifying patterns of health care utilisation	5-149
5.2.2	2 The patient cohort profile	5-151
5.3	Conclusion	5-192
Chapter	6 Becoming and being a patient with persistent abdominal pain.	6-194
6.1	Introduction	6-194
Part One	e: Lives before chronic pain	6-196
6.2	Developmental histories: Difficult life events affecting wellbeing	6-198
6.2.2	l Changes to family structure	6-198
6.2.2	2 Disruption to social development opportunities	6-200
6.2.3	Changes to physical and psychological wellbeing	6-202
6.2.4	Generational influences on health and wellbeing	6-205
6.3	Family members'/carers' insights into events leading to the develop	ment of
persis	tent abdominal pain	6-207
6.4	Clinicians' insights into the primacy of patients' developmental his	tories 6-211
Part Two	o: Lives interrupted by chronic pain	6-213
6.5	Patients' illness odysseys: The 'illness narratives' of two patients	6-216
6.6	Seeking medical intervention: The difficulties and complexities with	
clinica	ıl encounter	
6.6.	Difficult and complex patients: The diagnostic challenges	6-222
6.6.2		
6.	6.2.1 Damage and the biomedical model	
6.6.3		
6.6.4		
Part Thr	ee: Lives dominated by chronic pain	6-239
6.7	Clinicians' care odysseys	6-246
6.8	Discussion	
6.9	Conclusion.	
Chapter	7 Informing a new model of care	7-252
7.1	Introduction	7-252
7.2	Identifying the foundations to improvement: Lessons from the past	
for the	e future	
7.2.1		
7.3	The essential elements of a revised model of care	
7.3.1		
	3.1.1 A 'whole of person' approach: Beyond the rhetoric	

	7.3.1.2	From biopsychosocial to mind body integration: A different way	y of
	"seeing"	7-264	
	7.3.1.3		
	7.3.1.4	Reflecting on patient–provider relationships	
	7.3.1.5	Minimising disruption: A meaningful life	
7.4		ssion	
7.5	2	m redesign: Consistent, coordinated, shared and integrated care	
7		Generating cohesive treatment approaches	
	7.5.1.1	Treatment plans, contracts and hospital admission criteria	
	7.5.1.2 7.5.1.3	Opioids in non-malignant pain	
7.6		ssion	
7.7		nmendations on an alternative approach	
7.8		gths, limitations and reflections	
7.0	7.8.1.1	Implications for clinical practice	
	7.8.1.2	•	
	7.8.1.3	Implications for research	
7.9	Concl	uding statement	
REFE			
APPE	ENDICES		340
APPE	ENDIX 1	Chart audit	340
APPE	ENDIX 2 I	nformation statement (patients)	353
APPE	ENDIX 3 (Consent form (patients)	359
APPE	ENDIX 4 I	nterview schedule (patients)	361
APPE	ENDIX 5 I	nformation statement (family/significant other)	363
APPE	ENDIX 6 C	Consent form (family/significant other)	369
APPE	ENDIX 7	Topics for focus group (family/significant other)	371
APPE	ENDIX 8A	Request for assistance with recruitment (patients)	373
APPE	ENDIX 8B	Request for assistance with recruitment (health professionals)	375
APPE	ENDIX 9 I	nformation statement (health professionals)	377
APPE	ENDIX 10	Consent form (health professionals)	383
APPE	ENDIX 11	Topics for focus group (health professionals)	385
APPE	ENDIX 12	Demographic questionnaire (health professionals)	387
APPE	ENDIX 13	Demographic questionnaire (family/significant other)	389
APPE	ENDIX 14	Transcriber (typist) confidentiality agreement	392

Table of Tables

TABLE: 2.1 CONCURRENT TRAJECTORIES AFFECTING THE CONCEPTUALISATION AND MANAGEMENT O	F
PAIN	-22
TABLE 2.2: DIAGNOSTIC LABELS, SYMPTOMS & DESCRIPTORS ASSOCIATED WITH CHRONIC ABDOMINA	
PAIN	
TABLE 4.1: MEASUREMENTS USED TO QUANTIFY SYSTEM EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS4-1	.02
TABLE 4.2: STUDY PARTICIPANT STAKEHOLDER GROUPS4-1	.06
TABLE 5.1: PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS5-1	.52
TABLE 5.2: PATTERNS OF HEALTH CARE UTILISATION BY THE PATIENT COHORT ACROSS THE LHD (2003)	}—
2005)5-1	١54
TABLE 5.3: HOSPITAL 1 HEALTH CARE UTILISATION (LOS) PER PATIENT (2003–2005)5-1	١59
TABLE 5.4: FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO INCREASED LOS5-1	60
TABLE 5.5: ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS RELATED GROUPS (DRGS) FOR STUDY PATIENTS (2003–2005)5-1	65
TABLE 5.6: DIAGNOSTIC, INVESTIGATIVE AND PROCEDURAL INTERVENTIONS FOR CHRONIC ABDOMIN	AL
PAIN (2003–2005)5-1	171
TABLE 5.7: COMPARATIVE TIME ALLOCATED TO CHRONIC ABDOMINAL PAIN AND OTHER COHORTS PE	:R
CLINICAL SPECIALITY5-1	L 80
TABLE 5.8: COSTS OF INPATIENT CARE FOR PATIENT COHORT (2003–2005)5-1	L83
TABLE 7.1: PRINCIPAL CONCERNS IN MANAGING PATIENTS WITH PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL PAIN: DAY	
ONE NOMINAL GROUP WORKSHOPS7-2	257
TABLE 7.2: PRINCIPAL CONCERNS IN MANAGING PATIENTS WITH PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL PAIN: DAY	
TWO NOMINAL GROUP WORKSHOPS7-2	257
TABLE 7.3: A LONGITUDINAL APPROACH TO MANAGING PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL PAIN7-2	<u>1</u> 98
Table of Figures	
FIGURE 2.1 ORGANISATIONAL CONSTRUCTS GUIDING ENGAGEMENT WITH THE LITERATURE2	-18
FIGURE 4.1 FOUR-PHASE SEQUENTIAL EXPLANATORY DESIGN	-95
FIGURE 4.2 PHASE ONE: THE SEQUENTIAL EXPLANATORY DESIGN STUDY4-1	00
FIGURE 4.3: PHASE TWO: THE SEQUENTIAL EXPLANATORY DESIGN STUDY4-1	05
FIGURE 4.4: PHASE THREE: THE SEQUENTIAL EXPLANATORY DESIGN STUDY4-1	14
FIGURE 4.5: PHASE FOUR: THE SEQUENTIAL EXPLANATORY STUDY DESIGN4-1	16
FIGURE 4.6: BROAD-BASED THEMES WITHIN THE PHENOMENON OF PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL PAIN	
4-1	L 25

Thesis: Persistent Abdominal Pain: Challenges And Models of Care

FIGURE 4.7: BREAKING CONCEPTS APART TO FACILITATE ANALYTICAL APPRECIATIONS: THE CONCEPT OF
DISRUPTION4-126
FIGURE 4.8: BREAKING CONCEPTS APART TO FACILITATE ANALYTICAL APPRECIATIONS: THE CONCEPT OF
DAMAGE4-127
FIGURE 4.9: THE STUDY PHENOMENON WITHIN CONTEXT4-137
FIGURE 5.1: RATIO OF HOSPITAL ED PRESENTATIONS TO ADMISSIONS (H1) PER PATIENT (2003–2005)
5-156
FIGURE 5.2: ADMITTING MEDICAL SPECIALITIES (H1) OF STUDY PATIENTS (2003–2005)5-175
FIGURE 5.3 CLINICAL SPECIALTIES –OCCASIONS OF SERVICE PER YEAR FOR CHRONIC ABDOMINAL PAIN
(2003–2005)
FIGURE 5.4: MEDIAN LOS PER YEAR (2003–2005) FOR THE COMPOSITE STUDY COHORT5-185
FIGURE 5.5: HOSPITAL SYSTEM AND PROCESS ISSUES CONTRIBUTING TO INCREASED LOS (2003–2005)
5-191
FIGURE 7.1 THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL PAIN7-256
FIGURE 7.2 THE PROPOSED ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF CARE FOR PATIENT WITH PERSISTENT ABDOMINAL
PAIN7-259

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Acronym	Definition
APS	Acute Pain Service
AUD	Australian Dollar
CBT	Cognitive Behavioural Therapy
CNC	Clinical Nurse Consultant
CNS	Clinical Nurse Specialist
CPS	Chronic Pain Service
CT	Computerised Tomography
CVC	Central Venous Catheter
DRG	Diagnostic Related Group
DSM	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
ED	Emergency Department
GP	General Practitioner
IASP	International Association for the Study of Pain
IBS	Irritable Bowel Syndrome
ICD	International Classification of Disease
IPS	International Pain Summit
ITD	Intrathecal Devices
IVP	Intravenous Pyelogram
LHD	Local Health District
LOS	Length of Stay
MUS	Medically Unexplained Symptoms
NHS	National Health Service (UK)
NMDA	N-methyl-d-aspartate
NPS	National Pain Strategy
NSAID	Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug
NSW	New South Wales
NUM	Nurse Unit Manager
PCA	Patient-Controlled Analgesia
PCEA	Patient-Controlled Epidural Analgesia

Acronym	Definition
PEG	Percutaneous Gastrostomy
QLD	Queensland
RN	Registered Nurse
SCS	Spinal Cord Stimulator
TPN	Total Parental Nutrition
VMO	Visiting Medical Officer
WA	Western Australia
WHO	World Health Organization

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this study was twofold: First to assess the impact of persistent (chronic) abdominal pain on patients, carers, health professionals and the health care service within a large tertiary referral hospital in New South Wales (NSW), Australia. Second to examine ways in which the current model of care could be improved.

Research Design: The study employed a four-phase sequential, explanatory, mixed methods design. Interpretive description provided a framework for analysis of narrative accounts.

Participants: The study involved all major stakeholders: patients, carers and heath care professionals. There were a total of 112 participants involved in the study: seven patients, six carers, and 95 clinicians, representing 15 clinical specialities, including 10 general practitioners (GPs) and four health service administrators.

Outcomes: Findings from this study highlight the challenges and frustrations experienced by all stakeholders. Preliminary recommendations are made in relation to the broad principles and essential elements that participants considered necessary for a revised model of care to achieve optimal therapeutic outcomes for the patient cohort.

Conclusions: The clinical encounter in relation to persistent abdominal pain is fraught with complexities, frustrations and challenges for all stakeholders involved. The acute care system offers little in the way of workable alternatives for patients or clinicians seeking effective and efficient therapeutic outcomes. Subscribing to an acute reactive biomedical model for the management of complex bio-psychosocial phenomena has been well documented to be ineffective and as this study illustrates, in some cases detrimental to good patient outcomes for patients with significant and complex bio-psychosocial issues. Designing a model of care that is concerned with managing the study phenomenon from a life course approach rather than an acute episodic event approach was the preferred model that participant clinicians developed collaboratively. The proposed model could be utilised for delivering care to patients with persistent abdominal pain, both in the acute care setting and the community.